IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI

In re:)	
WAREHOUSE 86, LLC)	CASE NO. 08-03423-EE
	.)	Chapter 11
Debtor)	-
)	

FINAL ORDER, PURSUANT TO SECTION 366 OF THE BANKRUPTCY CODE: (A) PROHIBITING UTILITIES FROM ALTERING, REFUSING OR DISCONTINUING SERVICES TO, OR DISCRIMINATING AGAINST, THE DEBTOR ON ACCOUNT OF PRE-PETITION INVOICES; (B) DETERMINING THAT THE UTILITIES ARE ADEQUATELY ASSURED OF FUTURE PAYMENT; (C) ESTABLISHING PROCEDURES FOR DETERMINING REQUESTS FOR ADDITIONAL ASSURANCE; AND (D) PERMITTING UTILITY COMPANIES TO OPT OUT OF THE PROCEDURES ESTABLISHED HEREIN [Dkt. # 13, 30]

THIS CAUSE came on for consideration for final hearing on December 2, 2008 on the Debtor's Motion for Interim and Final Orders: (A) Prohibiting Utilities from Altering, Refusing or Discontinuing Services to, or Discriminating Against, the Debtor on Account of Pre-Petition Invoices; (B) Determining that the Utilities are Adequately Assured of Future Payment; (C) Establishing Procedures for Determining Requests for Additional Assurance; and (D) Permitting Utility Companies to Opt Out of the Procedures Established Herein (the "Motion") (Dkt. # 13) filed by Warehouse 86, LLC, as Debtor and Debtor-in-possession (collectively, the "Debtor"). The Court finds as follows:

1. This Court has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334. This venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409. This is a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(2).

1.

¹ Capitalized terms not otherwise defined herein shall have the meanings given to them in the Motion.

- 2. The statutory predicates for the relief requested herein are Sections 105, 363, and 366, of the Bankruptcy Code.
- 3. On November 4, 2008 (the "Petition Date"), Debtor filed its Voluntary Petition under Chapter 11 of the Title 11 United States Code (the "Bankruptcy Code"). Pursuant to Sections 1107(a) and 1108 of the Bankruptcy Code, the Debtor is continuing to operate its business and manages its properties and assets as Debtor-in-possession.
- 4. An Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors was appointed in this case on November 19, 2008 (Dkt. # 62).
- 5. An Interim Order granting the relief requested in the Motion was entered herein on November 7, 2008 (Dkt. # 30), and this matter was set for final hearing at 11:00 a.m. on December 2, 2008.
- 6. Notice of the Motion was served on all creditors and parties in interest in accordance with the Order Approving the Form of Hearing Notice and Establish Notice Parties for First Day Motions (Dkt. # 27) as reflected by the Certificates of Service (Dkt. ## 57-60), and no objection was timely filed thereto by any creditor or party in interest. This notice was reasonable, adequate and sufficient in all respects.
- 7. In connection with the operation of its business, the Debtor obtains electricity, natural gas, heat, sewer, water, television services, telephone services and/or similar services through accounts with various utility companies (the "Utility Companies"). The Utility Companies are identified by location on Exhibit "A" attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference.
- 8. Uninterrupted utility services are essential to ongoing operations and, consequently, to the success of the Debtor's reorganization. The Debtor's operations simply

cannot function without utility services. Should the Utility Companies refuse or discontinue services for even a brief period, operations would be severely disrupted. The impact on business operations, revenue and reorganization efforts would be extremely harmful and would jeopardize the Debtor's reorganization efforts. Accordingly, it is critical that utility services continue uninterrupted.

- 9. Pursuant to Section 366 of the Bankruptcy Code, the Utility Companies may alter, refuse or discontinue service to a debtor if the utility does not receive from the debtor or the trustee adequate "assurance of payment" within thirty (30) days of the commencement of the debtor's chapter 11 case. Section 366(c)(1)(A) of the Bankruptcy Code defines the phrase "assurance of payment" to mean, among other things, a cash deposit or a bond.
- listed on Exhibit "B" in the normal billing cycle the amount owed for any pre-petition utility services and allow each Participating Utility Company to retain any pre-petition deposits and/or bonds it may hold ("Adequate Assurance Deposit") to secure payment of all post-petition services rather than providing any additional deposit to any Participating Utility Company for post-petition services. A Participating Utility Company's acceptance of the payment for any pre-petition utility services shall be deemed an acknowledgement and admission from the Participating Utility Company that the Adequate Assurance Payment is the form of adequate assurance that is satisfactory to it, within the meaning of section 366 of the Bankruptcy Code. Likewise, any Participating Utility Company that does not request an Adequate Assurance Deposit by the Request Deadline and does not file a Procedure Objection (as defined below) to opt out of the Adequate Assurance Procedures (as defined below), shall be deemed to have

adequate assurance that is satisfactory to it, within the meaning of section 366 of the Bankruptcy Code.

Assurance Deposit, in conjunction with the Debtor's demonstrated ability to pay for future utility services in the ordinary course of business (collectively, the "Proposed Adequate Assurance"), constitutes sufficient adequate assurance of future payment to the Utility Companies to satisfy the requirements of section 366 of the Bankruptcy Code. Nonetheless, if any Utility Company believes additional assurance is required, it may request such assurance pursuant to the procedures described below.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED as follows:

- 1. The Motion is granted as set forth herein.
- 2. Subject to the procedures described below, no Utility Company may (a) alter, refuse, terminate or discontinue utility services to, and/or discriminate against, the Debtor on the basis of the commencement of these chapter 11 cases or on account of outstanding pre-petition invoices, or (b) require additional assurance of payment, other than the Proposed Adequate Assurance, as a condition to the Debtor receiving such utility services as set forth below.
- 3. A Utility Company shall be entitled to an Adequate Assurance Deposit in the amount equal to the cost of one week's worth of such Utility Company's utility services provided to the Debtor as calculated by the Debtor, provided that: (a) such request is made in writing no later than 30 days after the Petition Date (the "Request Deadline"), and (b) such requesting Utility Company does not already hold a deposit equal to or greater than the Adequate Assurance Deposit after deduction of any pre-petition amounts owed such Utility Company (which existing deposit shall be deemed to be the Adequate Assurance Deposit).

- 4. A Utility Company's request for, and acceptance of, an Adequate Assurance Deposit shall be deemed an acknowledgement and admission from the Utility Company that the Adequate Assurance Deposit is the form of adequate assurance that is satisfactory to it, within the meaning of section 366 of the Bankruptcy Code. Likewise, any Utility Company that does not request an Adequate Assurance Deposit by the Request Deadline and does not file a Procedures Objection to opt out of the Adequate Assurance Procedures (as described below), shall be deemed to have adequate assurance that is satisfactory to it, within the meaning of section 366 of the Bankruptcy Code. Any Adequate Assurance Deposit requested by, and provided to, any Utility Company pursuant to the procedures described herein shall be returned to the Debtor at the conclusion of these chapter 11 cases, if not returned or applied earlier.
 - 5. The following Adequate Assurance Procedures are approved in all respects:
 - A. Any Utility Company desiring assurance of future payment for utility service beyond the Proposed Adequate Assurance must serve a request (an "Additional Assurance Request") so that it is received by the Debtor by the Request Deadline at the following addresses: (i) Warehouse 86, LLC, Attention: Ernest K. Strahan, Chief Financial Officer, P O Box 16692, Jackson, MS 39236, and (ii) Butler, Snow, O'Mara, Stevens & Cannada, PLLC, Attention: Stephen W. Rosenblatt, Post Office Box 22567, Jackson, MS 39225.
 - B. Any Additional Assurance Request must (i) be made in writing; (ii) set forth the location(s) for which utility services are provided and the relevant account number(s) and Debtor; (iii) describe any deposits, prepayments or other security currently held by the requesting Utility Company, and (iv) explain why the requesting Utility Company believes the Proposed Adequate Assurance is not sufficient adequate assurance of future payment.
 - C. Upon the Debtor's receipt of an Additional Assurance Request at the addresses set forth above, the Debtor shall have the greater of (i) 14 days from the receipt of such Additional Assurance Request, or (ii) 30 days from the Petition Date (collectively, the "Resolution Period") to negotiate with the requesting Utility Company to resolve its Additional Assurance Request. The Resolution Period may be extended by agreement of the Debtor and the applicable Utility Company.

- D. The Debtor, in its discretion, may resolve any Additional Assurance Request by mutual agreement with the requesting Utility Company and without further order of the Court, and may, in connection with any such resolution, in its discretion, provide the requesting Utility Company with additional adequate assurance of future payment in a form satisfactory to the Utility Company, including, but not limited to, cash deposits, prepayments and/or other forms of security, if the Debtor believe such additional assurance is reasonable.
- E. If the Debtor determines that an Additional Assurance Request is not reasonable, and are not able to resolve such request during the Resolution Period, the Debtor, during or immediately after the Resolution Period, will request a hearing before this Court to determine the adequacy of assurances of payment made to the requesting Utility Company (the "Determination Hearing"), pursuant to section 366(c)(3)(A) of the Bankruptcy Code.
- F. Pending the resolution of the Additional Assurance Request at a Determination Hearing, the Utility Company making such request shall be restrained from discontinuing, altering or refusing service to the Debtor on account of unpaid charges for pre-petition services or on account of any objections to the Proposed Adequate Assurance.
- G. Other than through the Opt-Out Procedures (as such term is defined below), any Utility Company that does not comply with the Adequate Assurance Procedures is deemed to find the Proposed Adequate Assurance satisfactory to it and is forbidden from discontinuing, altering or refusing service on account of any unpaid pre-petition charges, or requiring additional assurance of payment (other than the Proposed Adequate Assurance). The Interim Order shall be deemed the Final Order with respect to all Utility Companies that do not timely file and serve a Procedures Objection (as defined below).
- 6. The following Opt-Out Procedures are approved in all respects:
 - A. Any Utility Company wishing to opt out of the Adequate Assurance Procedures must file an objection (the "Procedures Objection") with the Court and serve such Procedures Objection so that it is actually received by the Debtor within 15 days of entry of the Interim Order at the following addresses: (i) Warehouse 86, LLC, Attention: Ernest K. Strahan, Chief Financial Officer, Post Office Box 16692, Jackson, MS 39236, and (ii) Butler, Snow, O'Mara, Stevens & Cannada, PLLC, Attention: Stephen W. Rosenblatt, Post Office Box 22567, Jackson, Mississippi 39225.
 - B. Any Procedures Objections must (i) be made in writing; (ii) set forth the location(s) for which utility services are provided and the relevant account number(s) and Debtor; (iii) describe any deposits, prepayments or other

- security currently held by the objecting Utility Company; (iv) explain why the objecting Utility Company believes the Proposed Adequate Assurance is not sufficient adequate assurance of future payment; and (v) identify, and explain the basis of, the Utility Company's proposed adequate assurance requirement under section 366(c)(2) of the Bankruptcy Code.
- C. The Debtor, in its discretion, may resolve any Procedures Objection by mutual agreement with the objecting Utility Company and without further order of the Court, and may, in connection with any such resolution and in its discretion, provide a Utility Company with additional adequate assurance of future payments, including, but not limited to, cash deposits, prepayments or other forms of security, if the Debtor believes such additional assurance is reasonable. The Debtor shall advise its secured lenders of agreements reached with the Utility Company.
- D. If the Debtor determines that the Procedures Objection is not reasonable and if it is not able to reach a prompt alternative resolution with the objecting Utility Company, the Procedures Objection was to be heard at the Final Hearing.
- E. Any Utility Company that does not timely file a Procedures Objection is deemed to consent to, and shall be bound by, the Adequate Assurance Procedures.
- 7. A Utility Company shall be deemed to have adequate assurance of payment under section 366 of the Bankruptcy Code unless and until either: (a) the Debtor, in its discretion, agrees to either (i) an Adequate Assurance Request, or (ii) an alternative assurance of payment with the Utility Company during the Resolution Period; or (b) this Court enters an order at any Determination Hearing requiring that additional adequate assurance of payment be provided.
- 8. The Debtor is authorized, in its sole discretion, to amend the list of Utility Companies attached as Exhibit "A" to the Motion (the "Utility Service List") to add or delete any Utility Company, and this Order shall apply to any such Utility Company that is subsequently added to the Utility Service List. Nothing herein constitutes a finding that any entity is or is not a Utility Company hereunder or under section 366 of the Bankruptcy Code, whether or not such entity is listed on the Utility Service List.

08-03423-ee Dkt 129 Filed 04/17/09 Entered 04/18/09 00:46:01 Page 8 of 13

9. The Debtor served a copy of this Order on each Utility Company listed on the

Utility Service List on November 11, 2009 as reflected by the Certificate of Service (Dkt. # 60).

10. The Interim Order provided that its terms and conditions shall be effective and

enforceable immediately upon its entry and shall be deemed to be a Final Order with respect to

any Utility Company that does not file a timely Procedures Objection as described herein. No

objections were timely filed by a Utility Company prior to the Final Hearing. This Final Order is

being entered to reflect that no objections were timely filed.

11. The Debtor made a business judgment that it needs to restrict the scope of its

business operations during the first ninety (90) days of its post-petition operations. Accordingly,

the Debtor reduced the extent to which it needed utility services provided for its operations.

Listed on Exhibit "C" are the utilities from which the Debtor terminated service on or shortly

after the Petition Date. The terms and conditions of the relief sought in this motion were not

applicable to the utilities listed in Exhibit "C," and utilities listed in Exhibit "C" were free to

terminate such utility service at any time after the Petition Date to the extent they were not

seeking to terminate accounts listed on Exhibit "B."

12. This Court retains jurisdiction with respect to all matters arising from or related to

the implementation of this Final Order.

SO ORDERED,

Edward Ellington

United States Bankruptcy Judge

Dated: April 15, 2009

SUBMITTED BY:

Stephen W. Rosenblatt (MS Bar No. 5676) BUTLER, SNOW, O'MARA, STEVENS & CANNADA, PLLC 17th Floor, Regions Plaza (39201) Post Office Box 22567 Jackson, MS 39225-2567 Telephone: (601) 948-5711
Fax: (601) 985-4500
Email: steve.rosenblatt@butlersnow.com

Attorney for Debtor

EXHIBIT "A" UTILITY COMPANIES

Atmos Energy P O Box 9001949 Louisville, KY 40290-1949

AT&T P.O. Box 105262 Atlanta GA 30348

City of Indianola Water P.O. Box 269 Indianola MS 38751

Delta Electric Power P.O. Box 935 Greenwood MS 38935

Global Crossing Telecommunication 1120 Pittsford Victor Pittsford NY 14534

Memphis Light Gas & Water P.O. Box 388 Memphis, TN 38145

Questar Gas Company P.O. Box 45841 Salt Lake City, UT 84139

Rocky Mountain Power 1033 NE 6th Ave. Portland, OR 97256

Sprint P.O. Box 660092 Dallas TX 75266

Telesphere Networks Ltd. 9237 E Via de Ventura, Ste. 250 Scottsdale AZ 85258

Verizon Wireless P.O. Box 660108 Dallas TX 75266

EXHIBIT "B" PARTICIPATING UTILITY COMPANIES

AT&T
P.O. Box 105262
Atlanta GA 30348
(only for account number 601-932-3640-001-0592)

Global Crossing Telecommunication 1120 Pittsford Victor Pittsford NY 14534

Memphis Light Gas & Water P.O. Box 388 Memphis, TN 38145

Telesphere Networks Ltd. 9237 E Via de Ventura, Ste. 250 Scottsdale AZ 85258

EXHIBIT "C" NON-PARTICIPATING UTILITY COMPANIES

Atmos Energy P O Box 9001949 Louisville, KY 40290-1949 Account #: 000940088

AT&T
P.O. Box 105262
Atlanta GA 30348
(for all accounts other than those listed on Exhibit "B" – specifically account number 662-887-3288-127-0598)

City of Indianola Water P.O. Box 269 Indianola MS 38751

Delta Electric Power P.O. Box 935 Greenwood MS 38935

Questar Gas Company P.O. Box 45841 Salt Lake City, UT 84139

Rocky Mountain Power 1033 NE 6th Ave. Portland, OR 97256

Sprint P.O. Box 660092 Dallas TX 75266

Verizon Wireless P.O. Box 660108 Dallas TX 75266 08-03423-ee Dkt 129 Filed 04/17/09 Entered 04/18/09 00:46:01 Page 13 of 13

CERTIFICATE OF NOTICE

TOTAL: 0

The following entities were served by first class mail on Apr 17, 2009. dbpos +Warehouse 86, LLC, 5 River Bend Place, Ste D, Flowood, MS 39232-7618

The following entities were served by electronic transmission.

***** BYPASSED RECIPIENTS *****

NONE. TOTAL: 0

Addresses marked '+' were corrected by inserting the ZIP or replacing an incorrect ZIP. USPS regulations require that automation-compatible mail display the correct ZIP.

I, Joseph Speetjens, declare under the penalty of perjury that I have served the attached document on the above listed entities in the manner shown, and prepared the Certificate of Notice and that it is true and correct to the best of my information and belief.

Meeting of Creditor Notices only (Official Form 9): Pursuant to Fed. R. Bank. P. 2002(a)(1), a notice containing the complete Social Security Number (SSN) of the debtor(s) was furnished to all parties listed. This official court copy contains the redacted SSN as required by the bankruptcy rules and the Judiciary's privacy policies.

Date: Apr 17, 2009 Signate

Joseph Spections